

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER  
APR 06 2005

In re application of  
SHTEYN

Atty. Docket  
US018202

Serial: 10/022,754

Group Art Unit: 2173

Filed: 12/14/2001

Examiner: ZHOU, TING

INPUT SYSTEM USING A COMBINATION OF DATA INPUT SYSTEMS

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**Extension of Time Statement**

The Commissioner is hereby requested and authorized pursuant to 37 CFR §1.136(a)(3), to treat any concurrent or future reply in this application requiring a petition for extension of time for its timely submission, as incorporating a petition for extension of time for the appropriate length of time. Please charge any additional fees which may now or in the future be required in this application, including extension of time fees, but excluding the issue fee unless explicitly requested to do so, and credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 14-1270.

**RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.111**

Sir:

Responsive to the Office Action of January 31, 2005, please amend this application as follows:

1

2 new  
H.

05/04/2005 KNOWING 00000009 141270 10022754  
01 FC:1252 450.00

**REMARKS**

The Office Action of January 31, 2005 has been carefully considered. In response thereto, the claims have been amended as set forth above. Reconsideration in view of the foregoing amended and the following remarks is respectfully requested.

The specification was objected to by reason of certain informalities. The specification has been amended accordingly.

Claims 1-7 were rejected as being anticipated by Grover. Claims 3 and 5 have been cancelled. Claims 1 and 7 have been amended to more clearly distinguish over the cited reference. Reconsideration is respectfully requested.

More particularly, claims 1 and 7 have been amended to recite that the first data input system comprises a real or virtual keyboard configured to associate a specific keystroke with a plurality of graphical characters, and the second data input system is a speech recognition input system, a handwriting input system, or a stylus input system. No such combination of features is believed to be taught or suggested by Grover.

Accordingly, claims 1 and 7 are believed to patentably define over the cited references. Claims 2, 4 and 6 are believed to add novel and patentable subject matter to claim 1. Withdrawal of the rejection and allowance of claims 1-7 is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

  
Michael J. Ure, Reg. 33,089

Dated: April 4, 2000